

Bronnen Bitefile Kinderen & KI

De Bitefile Kinderen & KI is gebaseerd op de volgende artikelen:

1. Belpaeme, T., Baxter, P., De Greeff, J., Kennedy, J., Read, R., Looije, R., Neerincx, M., Baroni, I., & Zelati, M. C. (2013). Child-robot interaction: Perspectives and challenges. In *International Conference on Social Robotics*, 452-459. Springer, Cham. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-02675-6_45
2. Beran, T. N., Ramirez-Serrano, A., Kuzyk, R., Fior, M., & Nugent, S. (2011). Understanding how children understand robots: Perceived animism in child-robot interaction. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 69(7-8), 539-550. doi:[10.1016/j.ijhcs.2011.04.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2011.04.003)
3. Bernstein, D., & Crowley, K. (2008). Searching for signs of intelligent life: An investigation of young children's beliefs about robot intelligence. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 17(2), 225-247. doi:[10.1080/10508400801986116](https://doi.org/10.1080/10508400801986116)
4. Dautenhahn, K., Werry, I., Rae, J., Dickerson, P., Stribling, P., & Ogden, B. (2002). Robotic playmates. In *Socially intelligent agents*, 117-124. Springer, Boston, MA.
5. Druga, S., Williams, R., Breazeal, C., & Resnick, M. (2017). "Hey Google is it OK if I eat you?" Initial Explorations in Child-Agent Interaction. In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 595-600. doi:10.1145/3078072.3084330
6. Druga, S., Williams, R., Park, H. W., & Breazeal, C. (2018). How smart are the smart toys? children and parents' agent interaction and intelligence attribution. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children*, 231-240. doi:[10.1145/3202185.3202741](https://doi.org/10.1145/3202185.3202741)
7. Esteban, P. G., Baxter, P., Belpaeme, T., Billing, E., Cai, H., Cao, H. L., Coeckelberg, M., Costescu, C., David, D., De Beir, A., Fang, Y., Ju, Z., Kennedy, J., Liu, H., Mazel, A., Pandey, A., Richardson, K., Senft, E., Thill, S., Van de Perre, G., Vanderborght, V., Vernon, D., Yu, H., & Ziemke, T. (2017). How to build a supervised autonomous system for robot-enhanced therapy for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Paladyn, Journal of Behavioral Robotics*, 8(1), 18-38. doi:[10.1515/pjbr-2017-0002](https://doi.org/10.1515/pjbr-2017-0002)
8. Fortunati, L., Esposito, A., Sarrica, M., & Ferrin, G. (2015). Children's knowledge and imaginary about robots. *International journal of social robotics*, 7(5), 685-695. doi:10.1007/s12369-015-0316-9
9. Hyun, E., & Yoon, H. (2009). Characteristics of young children's utilization of a robot during play time: A case study. In *RO-MAN 2009-The 18th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication*, 675-680. IEEE.

doi:[10.1007/s12369-019-00569-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-019-00569-0)

10. Keymolen, E., & Van der Hof, S. (2019). Can I still trust you, my dear doll? A philosophical and legal exploration of smart toys and trust. *Journal of Cyber Policy*, 4(2), 143-159. doi:10.1080/23738871.2019.1586970
11. Lambrecht, I. & Feci, N. (2017) 'Smart dolls': a triple threat to children and their rights. Retrieved from <https://www.law.kuleuven.be/citip/blog/smart-dolls-a-triple-threat-to-children-and-their-rights/>
12. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An argument for AI in Education*. Pearson Education, London. Retrieved from: <http://oro.open.ac.uk/50104/1/Luckin%20et%20al.%20-%202016%20-%20Intelligence%20Unleashed.%20An%20argument%20for%20AI%20in%20Educ.pdf>
13. McReynolds, E., Hubbard, S., Lau, T., Saraf, A., Cakmak, M., & Roesner, F. (2017, May). Toys that listen: A study of parents, children, and internet-connected toys. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 5197-5207. doi:10.1145/3025453.3025735
14. Melson, G. F., Kahn Jr, P. H., Beck, A., & Friedman, B. (2006). Toward understanding children's and adults' encounters with social robots. In *Paper to the AAAI Workshop on Human Implications of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI)*, 36-43. Boston.
15. Nascimbeni, F. & Vosloo, S. (2019). Digital literacy for children. Retrieved from <https://www.ikanos.eus/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/UNICEF-Digital-Literacy-Scoping-Paper-FINAL-27-Aug-2019.pdf>
16. Nattawuttisit, S. (2019). Learning via AI Dolls: Creating Self-Active Learning for Children. In *Neo-Simulation and Gaming Toward Active Learning*, 281-291. Springer, Singapore. doi:10.1007/978-981-13-8039-6_26
17. Severson, R. L., & Carlson, S. M. (2010). Behaving as or behaving as if? Children's conceptions of personified robots and the emergence of a new ontological category. *Neural Networks*, 23(8-9), 1099-1103. doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2010.08.014
18. Spohr, D. (2017). Fake news and ideological polarization: Filter bubbles and selective exposure on social media. *Business Information Review*, 34(3), 150-160. doi:10.1177/0266382117722446
19. Sutherland, C. J. (2019). The Robots are the Same, the Children are Not. In *16th International Conference on Ubiquitous Robots (UR)*, 254-258. doi:10.1109/URAI.2019.8768783.

20. Thabtah, F., Kamalov, F., & Rajab, K. (2018). A new computational intelligence approach to detect autistic features for autism screening. *International journal of medical informatics*, 117, 112-124. doi: [10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.06.009)
21. UNICEF & World Economic Forum. (2018). *Children and AI Where are the opportunities and risks?* Retrieved from https://www.unicef.org/innovation/sites/unicef.org/innovation/files/2018-11/Children%20and%20AI_Short%20Version%20%283%29.pdf
22. van Straten, C. L., Peter, J., Kühne, R., & Barco, A. (2020). Transparency about a robot's lack of human psychological capacities: Effects on child-robot perception and relationship formation. *Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction*, 9(2). doi:[10.1145/3365668](https://doi.org/10.1145/3365668)
23. van Straten, C. L., Kühne, R., Peter, J., de Jong, C., & Barco Martelo, A. (2020). Closeness, trust, and perceived social support in child-robot relationship formation: Development and validation of three self-report scales. *Interaction Studies*, 21, 57-84. doi:[10.1075/is.18052.str](https://doi.org/10.1075/is.18052.str)
24. Werry, I., Dautenhahn, K., Ogden, B., & Harwin, W. (2001, August). Can social interaction skills be taught by a social agent? The role of a robotic mediator in autism therapy. In *International Conference on Cognitive Technology*, 57-74. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.